

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

KAREN SIBBET, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	Civil Action No. 13-742
)	
v.)	Judge Cathy Bissoon
)	
SUPERIOR SPECIALTY COMPANY,)	
<i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

Consistent with the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit’s decision in McCartney v. Integra National Bank North, 106 F.3d 506 (3d Cir. 1997),¹ Plaintiffs’ Motion for a Stay (**Doc. 10**) regarding the claims asserted against the corporate-Defendants is **GRANTED**. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT this case is **STAYED** and **ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSED**. Lehman v. Revolution Portfolio L.L.C., 166 F.3d 389, 392 & n.3 (1st Cir. 1999) (“[w]e endorse the judicious use of administrative closings by district courts to await the lifting of the automatic stay imposed by the Bankruptcy Code”); *accord* Penn West Assocs., Inc. v. Cohen, 371 F.3d 118, 128 (3d Cir. 2004) (citing with approval rationale in Lehman).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

October 8, 2013

s/Cathy Bissoon
Cathy Bissoon
United States District Judge

¹ See *id.* at 509-10 (automatic stay under 11 U.S.C. § 362 may be extended to non-bankrupt, third-party defendant where “there is such identity between the debtor and the third-party defendant that the debtor may be said to be the real party defendant and that a judgment against the third-party defendant will in effect be a judgment or finding against the debtor”) (citation to quoted and other sources omitted).

cc (via ECF email notification):

All Counsel of Record